



WHY ASSETS MATTER: An overview of research on assets and their effect on financial stability and economic opportunity

Assets are tangible and intangible economic resources – a home, savings in a bank account, a college education – that can produce value for their owner. “Asset building” as a strategy to help families escape poverty emerged in the early 1990s, inspired by researcher Michael Sherraden’s assets-based approach to poverty alleviation articulated in *Assets and the Poor*.¹ The essential insight from his work was that assets can matter economically, socially and psychologically in ways that income alone does not. More recent research has reinforced this insight: that income – by itself – is necessary, but not sufficient, to allow families to escape poverty, achieve financial stability and move up the economic ladder.

FACT: Assets are essential to achieving long-term stability and mobility

Assets create a financial buffer to weather emergencies

- Savings and assets correlate with low-income families’ ability to weather unexpected employment gaps² and hardships related to health care and housing payments, food security, utility and phone bills, and basic consumption.³
- Having enough assets to live at the poverty line for three months is equivalent to being in the next highest third of the income distribution.⁴
- Households with at least \$2,000 in liquid assets are less likely to forgo doctor visits or miss utility payments, compared to those with fewer or no assets.⁵
- Low-income homebuyers who purchased a home with the help of an Individual Development Account are two to three times less likely to lose their homes to foreclosure, according to one recent study.⁶

Assets can promote success in the labor market

- Literature suggests that financial assets and car ownership are correlated with positive income and employment outcomes.⁷
- Savings at age 23 results in lower unemployment between ages 23 and 33.⁸
- A 1% increase in wealth has been found to provide a 5% increase in the likelihood that young men will avoid low-wage jobs.⁹

Assets can promote long-term thinking, planning and psychological well-being

- Assets are associated with expectations and confidence about the future.¹⁰
- They can encourage people to make specific plans with regard to work and family.¹¹
- Assets may decrease risky behaviors, such as cigarette smoking, failure to fasten seatbelts, having inadequate insurance or operating uninsured vehicles.¹²
- They are correlated with greater social connectedness with relatives, neighbors and organizations.¹³

Assets can promote economic mobility for single mothers

- Single mothers with savings or who own a home were found to be more likely to be above the federal poverty level compared to their counterparts with no assets.¹⁴
- Financial assets are positively associated with the economic well-being of women one year after marital disruption.¹⁵

Assets can enhance the well-being and life chances of children

- Household wealth has been found to be positively associated with children's cognitive and behavioral development.¹⁶
- Homeownership is positively associated with children's improved emotional and cognitive development,¹⁷ educational attainment,¹⁸ increased participation in extracurricular activities,¹⁹ decreased teenage pregnancy²⁰ and decreased behavioral problems.²¹
- People who own assets are more likely to have higher expectations for their futures and the futures of their children.²²

Assets can increase the likelihood of going to and succeeding in college

- Children in families with as little as \$3,000 in savings have been found to have greater odds of graduating from high school than children in families without savings.²³
- Children with savings dedicated for college education are four times more likely to attend college. Among youth who expect to attend college, those with a savings account in their names are about seven times more likely to actually attend.²⁴
- Savings and other financial assets are a consistent predictor of college graduation, even after controlling for variables such as income.²⁵

FACT: The distribution of assets is unequal with poor families starting out behind

- Whereas 15% of American households (an estimated 17 million households) have incomes that fall below the federal poverty level,²⁶ 26% of American households (an estimated 30 million households) are asset poor – meaning they do not have enough resources (i.e., net worth) to subsist at the federal poverty level for three months if their income were interrupted, for example, due to a job loss or medical emergency.²⁷
- A more realistic measure of the resources available to families is “liquid asset poverty,” which excludes assets such as a home or car that are not easily converted to cash. Excluding these assets, the liquid asset poverty rate increases to 44% of households (an estimated 50 million households).²⁸
- The annual income for a family of three at the federal poverty level is \$19,530.²⁹ The median net worth for a family approximately at or below this level (\$18,181) is \$4,253.³⁰
- Asset poverty is also more pronounced for households with children and people of color:
 - 34% of households with children (an estimated 13 million households) are asset poor. Fifty percent of households with children (an estimated 19 million households) are liquid asset poor.³¹
 - 42% of households of color (an estimated 14 million households) are asset poor compared to 19% of white households.³²
 - 63% of households of color are liquid asset poor (an estimated 21 million households), compared to 35% of white households.³³
- The median wealth of white households (\$110,973) is 18 times that of black households (\$6,291) and 13 times that of Hispanic households (\$8,450).³⁴ Minorities are also much less likely to own a home or have a college degree³⁵ and are more likely to face predatory lending.³⁶
- The wealth gap between White and African-American families has more than quadrupled over the course of a generation, from a gap of \$20,000 in 1984 to a gap of \$95,000 in 2007.³⁷


- For every dollar of wealth owned by single men, single women have only 36 cents; the median net worth for single men is \$28,610, compared to \$10,400 for single women.³⁸
- Single mothers have only 8% of the wealth of single fathers; the median net worth of single mothers is \$1,650 compared to \$21,920 for single fathers.³⁹

FACT: Incentives, structures and supports can encourage poor people to save

- Participants in the American Dream Demonstration – the first large-scale test of Individual Development Accounts – saved an average of \$19 per month. Every dollar participants saved was matched, resulting in an average annual accumulation of approximately \$700. The median annual household income of these participants was \$16,296.⁴⁰
- Participants in the Savings for Education, Entrepreneurship and Downpayment (SEED) Initiative – the first large-scale test of matched Children’s Savings Accounts – saved an average of \$30 per quarter, resulting in an average accumulation of \$1,500 over the course of three years, including incentives. About half of SEED participants were from families with incomes below the federal poverty line.⁴¹
- New York City’s \$aveNYC program offers lower-income individuals a 50% match if they direct-deposit at least \$100 of their tax refund and save it for one year. To date, approximately 2,200 low-income participants have saved a total of \$1.4 million, with an average savings of \$561. The average income of participants is approximately \$17,000.⁴²

Household Security Framework

For families to move up the economic ladder, they need both income and assets. With appropriate incentives, financial products and knowledge, families can move toward that goal. The iterative path toward financial security begins by maximizing income – increasing earnings, utilizing tax credits and stabilizing housing, transportation and other essential goods and services. It moves from there to connecting people to the financial mainstream and opportunities to save by providing access to basic bank accounts and savings incentives, such as individual development accounts. With saving for emergencies and future needs, families can then begin investing in long-term assets – such as education, a home or business. At each stage, increasing knowledge and skills enable success in and navigation of the labor and financial markets. Protecting income, savings and assets through insurance and consumer protections is equally essential.



About CFED

CFED empowers low- and moderate-income households to build and preserve assets by advancing policies and programs that help them achieve the American Dream, including buying a home, pursuing higher education, starting a business and saving for the future. As a leading source for data about household financial security and policy solutions, CFED understands what families need to succeed. We promote programs on the ground and invest in social enterprises that create pathways to financial security and opportunity for millions of people.

Established in 1979 as the Corporation for Enterprise Development, CFED works nationally and internationally through its offices in Washington, DC; Durham, North Carolina; and San Francisco, California.

For more information, visit www.cfed.org

ENDNOTES

1. Michael Sherraden, *Assets and the Poor: A New American Welfare Policy* (New York: M. E. Sharpe, Inc., 1991).
2. Signe-Mary McKernan, Caroline Ratcliffe and Katie Vinopal, *Do Assets Help Families Cope with Adverse Events?* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, 2009).
3. Gregory Mills and Joe Amick, *Can Savings Help Overcome Income Instability?* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, 2010).
4. McKernan, Ratcliffe & Vinopal, *Do Assets Help*, 3.
5. Gregory Mills and Sisi Zhang, *Savings and Hardship Avoidance Among Households Headed by People with Disabilities: Implications for SSI* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, 2011).
6. Ida Rademacher, Kasey Wiedrich, Signe-Mary McKernan, Caroline Ratcliffe and Megan Gallagher, *Weathering the Storm: Have IDAs Helped Low-Income Homebuyers Avoid Foreclosure?* (Washington, DC: CFED & The Urban Institute, 2010).
7. Robert Lerman and Signe-Mary McKernan, *The Effects of Holding Assets on Social and Economic Outcomes of Families: A Review of Theory and Evidence* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, 2008).
8. John Bynner and Sofia Despotidou, *The Effects of Assets on Life Chances* (London: Center for Longitudinal Studies Institute for Education, 2001).
9. Juan Rafael Morillas, "Assets, Earning Mobility and the Black/White Gap," *Social Science Research* 36 (2007): 808-833.
10. Gautam Yadama and Michael Sherraden, "Effects of Assets on Attitudes and Behaviors: Advance Test of a Social Policy Proposal," *Social Work Research* 20 (1996): 3-11.
11. Ibid.
12. Ibid.
13. Ibid.
14. Cynthia J. Rocha, "Factors that Contribute to the Economic Well-Being in Female-Headed Households," *Journal of Social Service Research* 23 (1997): 1-17.
15. Esther Y. Cho, *The Effects of Assets on the Economic Well-Being of Women After Marital Disruption* (St. Louis: Center for Social Development, 1999).
16. Trina Rachele Williams, "The Impact of Household Wealth and Poverty on Child Development Outcomes: Examining Asset Effects" (Ph.D. dissertation, Washington University in St. Louis, 2003).
17. Donald R. Haurin, Toby L. Parcel and R. Jean Haurin, "The Impact of Homeownership on Child Outcomes," in *Low-Income Homeownership: Examining the Unexamined Goal*, eds. Nicholas P. Restinas and Eric S. Belsky (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press, 2002).
18. Thomas Kane, "College Entry by Blacks Since 1970: The Role of College Costs, Family Background and the Returns to Education," *Journal of Political Economy* 102 (1994): 878-911.
19. Edward Scanlon and Deborah Page-Adams, *Homeownership and Youth Well-Being: An Empirical Test of Asset-Based Welfare* (St. Louis: Center for Social Development, 2000).
20. Richard Green and Michelle White, "Measuring the Benefits of Homeowning: Effects on Children," *Journal of Urban Economics* 41 (1997): 441-461.
21. Ibid.
22. Min Zhan and Michael Sherraden, "Assets, Expectations and Educational Achievement," *Social Science Review* 77 (2003): 191-211.
23. Zhan & Sherraden, "Assets, Expectations," 191. See also Margaret Lombe, Von Nebbitt and Jessie Buerlein, "Perceived Effects of Participation in an Asset-Building Program on Construction of Future Possibilities," *Families in Society* 88 (2007): 463-471.
24. William Elliot and Sandra Beverly, *The Role of Savings and Wealth in Reducing 'Wilt' Between Expectations and College Attendance* (St. Louis: Center for Social Development, 2010).
25. Min Zhan and Michael Sherraden, *Assets and Liabilities, Educational Expectations and Children's College Degree Attainment* (St. Louis: Center for Social Development, 2009).
26. *2013 Assets & Opportunity Scorecard*. CFED. Data Source: *Survey of Income and Program Participation*. (2008 Panel, Wave 7). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, 2010. Data calculated by the Bay Area Council Economic Institute.
27. CFED, *Scorecard*.
28. Ibid.
29. "The 2013 HHS Poverty Guidelines," U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, January 24, 2013, <http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/11poverty.shtml>.
30. CFED, *Scorecard*.
31. CFED, *Scorecard*.
32. CFED, *Scorecard*.
33. Ibid.
34. *Survey of Income and Program Participation*. (2008 Panel, Wave 7). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, 2009. Data calculated by the Bay Area Council Economic Institute.
35. CFED, *Scorecard*.
36. Aracely Panameño and Keith Corbett, *Wealth Stripping Payday Loans Trouble Communities of Color* (Durham, NC: Center for Responsible Lending, 2008).
37. Thomas Shapiro, Tatjana Meschede and Laura Sullivan, *Racial Wealth Gap Increases Fourfold* (Boston: Institute on Assets and Social Policy, 2010).
38. Mariko Lin Chang, *Shortchanged: Why Women Have Less Wealth and What Can Be Done About It* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2010).
39. Ibid.
40. Gregory Mills, Rhiannon Patterson, Larry Orr and Donna DeMarco, *Evaluation of the American Dream Demonstration* (Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates, 2004).
41. Michael Sherraden and Julia Stevens, *Lessons from SEED, a National Demonstration of Child Development Accounts* (St. Louis: Center for Social Development, 2010).
42. Office of Financial Empowerment, *The \$aveNYC Account: Innovation in Asset Building* (New York: Department of Consumer Affairs).